Docket No.: 3782-0110P

REMARKS

Claims 1-53 are pending in this application. Claims 24-32 and 53 are withdrawn from consideration. Claims 1, 15, 21, 23-24, 33, 47, and 53 are independent. In light of the remarks contained herein, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and withdrawal of the outstanding rejections.

In the outstanding Official Action, the Examiner rejected claims 1-23 and 33-52 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Ericson (US Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0091711). Applicant respectfully traverses this rejection.

Examiner Interview

Applicant wishes to thank the Examiner for the Interview conducted on November 3, 2005. During the Interview, the parties agreed that Ericson fails to teach or suggest creating a valuable document in response to the order by associating with the valuable document a subset of a position coding pattern as set forth in claim 1. The parties further agreed that Ericson fails to teach or suggest checking, with the aide of a determined coordinate area, whether the valuable document is acceptable as set forth in claim 15. Based upon this agreement, it is respectfully requested that the outstanding rejection of claims 1 and 15, together with claims dependent thereon, be withdrawn.

It is respectfully submitted that claims 21 and 33 include elements similar to those discussed above with regard to claim 1 and thus claims 21 and 33, together with claims dependent thereon, are not anticipated by Ericson based upon the agreement made during the Interview. It is further respectfully submitted that claims 23 and 47 contain elements similar to those discussed with regard to claim 15 and thus claims 23 and 47, together with claims dependent thereon, are not anticipated by Ericson for the reasons discussed during the Interview.

Based upon the agreement made during the Interview, Applicant respectfully submits that the application is now in proper form for allowance.

Application No. 09/813,116 Amendment dated November 7, 2005 (Monday)

Reply to Office Action of August 5, 2005

Conclusion

In view of the above amendment, applicant believes the pending application is in

condition for allowance.

Should there be any outstanding matters that need to be resolved in the present

application, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact Catherine M. Voisinet (Reg. No.

52,327) at the telephone number of the undersigned below, to conduct an interview in an effort to

expedite prosecution in connection with the present application.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies,

to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional

fees required under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16 or 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Dated: November 7, 2005

Respectfully submitted

Michael K. Mutter

Registration No.: 29,680

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

8110 Gatehouse Road

Suite 100 East

P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000

Attorneys for Applicant

Birch, Stewart, Kolasch & Birch, LLP

3

Docket No.: 3782-0110P